Case: 1:10-cv-05711 Document #: 306 Filed: 03/08/12 Page 1 of 3 PageID #:6556

(Revised 12/11)

United States District Court Northern District of Illinois MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAR PRO HAC VICE

Case Title:	Kleen Products LLC, et al.	Plantiff(s)			
	VS.				
	Packaging Corporation of America, et al.	Defendant(s)			
Case Number	T: 1:10-cv-05711 Judge: Milton I. Shadur				
I, Marc L.	Greenwald	hereby apply to the Court			
under Local	Rule 83.14 for permission to appear and participate in the above-entitled	action on behalf of			
Georgia-Paci	fic LLC by wh	om I have been retained.			
I am a memb	per in good standing and eligible to practice before the following courts:				
	Title of Court	DateAdmitted			
See attached sheet appended to this Motion For Leave to Appear Pro Hac Vice					
	tly, or within the year preceding the date of this application, made pro har following actions:	c vice applications to this			
Case Nun	nber Case Title	Date of Application (Granted or Denied)*			
Kaningan ing dinangan pangi kaningan panggan panggan panggan panggan panggan panggan panggan panggan panggan p					
Jiha Marandari wa kamana wa wa wa wa waka wa kata ka					
*If denied, pl (Attach addit necessary)	•				
	al Rule 83.15(a), applicants who do not have an office within the Northern District of Ill eir initial notice or pleading, a member of the bar of this Court having an office within the nade.				
	Has the applicant designated local counsel? Yes	No			
If you have not	designated local counsel, Local Rule 83.15(b) provides that the designation must be made	le within thirty (30) days.			

Case: 1:10-cv-05711 Document #: 306 Filed: 03/08/12 Page 2 of 3 PageID #:6557

Has the applicant ever be	een:					
censured, suspended, d	isbarred, or otherwise disci	plined by any court	? Yes	No	\checkmark	
or is the applicant currently the subject of an investigation of the applicant's professional conduct?			Yes	No	\checkmark	
transferred to inactive bar of any court?	status, voluntarily withdrav	wn, or resigned fron	the Yes	No	\checkmark	
denied admission to the		Yes	No	\checkmark		
held in contempt of court?				No	\checkmark	
NOTE: If the answer to <i>any</i> of the above questions is yes, please attach a brief description of the incident(s) and the applicant's current status before any court, or any agency thereof, where disciplinary sanctions were imposed, or where an investigation or investigations of the applicant's conduct may have been instituted.						
	Professional Conduct for the cial Circuit, and will faithfull					
March 8, 2012		S/ Marc L. Greenwald				
Date		Electronic Signature of Applicant				
Applicant's Name	Last Name Greenwald		First Name Marc		Middle Name/Initial L.	
Applicant's Law Firm	Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP					
Applicant's Address				Room/Suite Number		
	City New York	State New York	ZIP Code 10010	Work Phone N (212) 849-70		

(The pro hac vice admission fee is \$100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and \$50.00 for cases filed on or after that date, and shall be paid to the Clerk. No admission under Rule 83.14 is effective until such time as the fee has been paid.)

NOTE: Attorneys seeking to appear pro hac vice may wish to consider filing a petition for admission to the general bar of this Court. The fee for admission to the General Bar is \$176.00 The fee for pro hac vice admission is \$100.00 for cases filed before February 1, 2001, and \$50.00 for cases filed after that date. Admission to the general bar permits an attorney to practice before this Court. Pro hac vice admission entitles an attorney to appear in a particular case only. Application for such admission must be made in each case; and the admission fee must be paid in each case.

Case: 1:10-cv-05711 Document #: 306 Filed: 03/08/12 Page 3 of 3 PageID #:6558

Attachment

I am a member in good standing and eligible to practice before the following courts:

Title of Court	Date Admitted	
The State Bar of California	April 1995	
United Stated Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit	November 5, 1997	
Bar of the District of Columbia	December 2001	
United States District Court for the Central District of California	2002	
United States District Court for the Northern District of California	2002	
United States District Court for the Southern District of New York	May 22, 2002	
United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York	May 22, 2002	
The State Bar of New York	June 2002	
The Supreme Court of the United States of America	2011	